

# Relationship report for Sample & Partner

Subject	Birth date	Birth time	Birth place
Sample	2000-jan-01	12:00	London, UK
Partner	2003-sep-01	12:00	London, UK

## Core Relationship Dynamics

This is a partnership that finds a workable shape quickly, but not by accident. Sample arrives with more drive, firmness, and forward pressure; Partner brings more softness, receptivity, and adaptability. In ordinary life, that means Sample is often the one who defines the pace first: making the plan, setting the tone, deciding what matters, or moving the day along when nothing is happening. Partner is more likely to adjust, observe, and fit around the frame Sample sets. That can make the early pattern feel efficient and surprisingly smooth, like two people who do not need a long warm-up to function around each other. It can also create a quiet asymmetry if Sample starts to act like the default organizer and Partner slips into the role of the one who adapts rather than contributes the structure.

The basic fit is genuinely strong, and that shows in the way Sample and Partner meet each other at the level of personal style. Sample does not have to translate too much to be noticed by Partner, and Partner does not come off as a foreign type that Sample has to decode. In first meetings, this can look like an easy, natural recognition of competence: Sample comes across as direct enough to be taken seriously, while Partner arrives with enough ease and composure to make the exchange feel unforced. There is little of the awkward false-start quality that some couples get stuck in. Sample can speak plainly; Partner can respond without turning it into a contest. That does not mean everything is identical. It means the initial friction is low, and the bond can form around ordinary life rather than around constant negotiation of style.

There is also a useful polarity in how Sample and Partner carry themselves. Sample tends to be more self-propelled and reactive to circumstance; Partner tends to be more fluid, less rigid, and better at changing course without making a scene. In practice, that often gives the relationship a useful division of labor. Sample is more likely to push a decision over the line, while Partner is more likely to tolerate detours, delays, or changes in plan without turning the whole day into a crisis. On a normal week, that can look like Sample moving the pair toward action and Partner helping the arrangement stay livable. The cost is that Sample may grow impatient with Partner's slower commitment to one fixed direction, while Partner may feel that Sample wants too much momentum too quickly. Still, the overall temperament balance is practical rather than hostile: each person has qualities the other can actually use.

The strongest structural feature is the way Partner naturally falls into Sample's partnership zone. Partner tends to show up in a way that makes Sample take the relationship seriously as a two-person arrangement, not just as an interesting acquaintance or a passing convenience. Partner brings a visible partner-like presence: attentive, socially legible, and hard to treat casually. Sample is likely to

register Partner as someone who belongs in the center of the room, not at the edge of it. In real life, that means Sample is often drawn into thinking in terms of commitment, roles, and shared expectations very early, whether Sample intends to or not. Partner's presence does not stay on the surface. It presses on Sample's instinct to define the bond clearly and to act like a real couple rather than a loose association.

That same zone is also where strain can gather. Partner does not just fit Sample's idea of partnership; Partner can also trigger Sample's sense of obligation, scrutiny, or balance-sheet accounting. When Sample feels secure, this can make the relationship feel properly official, as if the two of them are operating inside a stable domestic frame. When Sample feels irritated or pressured, the same structure can make Sample seem watchful, exacting, or harder to satisfy than usual. Partner may experience Sample as placing expectations on the relationship before Partner is ready to name them. That does not destroy the fit, but it does mean the bond asks for maturity around duty and pacing. This is not a casual, anything-goes arrangement. It works best when both people accept that the partnership has weight and behaves accordingly.

There is a notable pull from the other side as well: Sample tends to land inside Partner's personal space in a way that makes Sample hard to ignore. Partner is likely to experience Sample as vivid, present, and hard to shrug off. Sample's manner has enough force and initiative to leave a mark on Partner's daily sense of what feels alive and what feels dull. In ordinary terms, Partner may find that Sample changes the temperature of the room simply by being there. That can be energizing and stabilizing at once. Sample gives Partner a clearer sense of direction, while Partner's response to Sample helps Sample feel that effort has a place to land. The relationship is not built on passivity. It has enough push in it to keep both people alert.

The main tension in the underlying structure comes from the fact that Sample and Partner are not built from the same stuff. Sample is more direct, more fixed in approach, and more inclined to hold a line once Sample has chosen one. Partner is more changeable, more internally complicated, and less willing to lock into one rhythm too soon. On a calm day, this is useful: Sample keeps things from drifting, and Partner keeps things from becoming stiff. On a bad day, Sample can read Partner as slippery, and Partner can read Sample as stubborn. The relationship then feels like one person trying to anchor the table while the other keeps rearranging the chairs. That is manageable, but it requires both people to stop assuming that the other person's style is automatically a refusal. Sample does not need more softness in order to be effective, and Partner does not need more force in order to be worthy of the bond. What the relationship asks for is a sober respect for different pacing and different habits of commitment.

In sum, this is a relationship with real structural fit and enough contrast to stay

alive. Sample gives the pair spine; Partner gives it flexibility. The bond does not depend on perfect similarity. It works because each person lands in a place the other actually needs, even if that same landing sometimes produces pressure. When Sample and Partner are at their best, the relationship feels like something that can be built and lived in, not merely enjoyed in theory.

## Emotional Compatibility

The emotional fit is real, but it is not a soft, effortless fit; it is a close one that has to be handled with care. Sample and Partner often understand each other's moods without a long explanation, yet they do not always react in the same way when hurt. Sample tends to reach for warmth, clarity, and some sign of closeness quickly. Partner tends to feel things more inwardly and more intensely, then hold the feeling until it settles into a mood or a silence. On an ordinary day, that can look like Sample checking in with a direct question or a small bid for reassurance, while Partner answers with a look, a pause, or a quiet change in tone that says more than words. They are not strangers to each other's emotional weather, but they do not always forecast it the same way.

There is genuine ease in the way Sample reads Partner. Sample often senses when Partner is uneasy before Partner says much at all, and Sample is usually inclined to respond with warmth rather than pressure. That matters, because Partner does not do well with being cornered in the middle of a feeling. Partner needs room to sort through hurt privately, and Sample's instinct is often to soften the moment rather than escalate it. In practice, this can make Sample the one who offers the first reassuring gesture after a tense evening: a quieter voice, a hand on the shoulder, a return to the room as if nothing has to be made dramatic. Partner tends to take that in deeply, especially when the reassurance is steady and unshowy.

At the same time, there is a serious edge to the emotional bond that neither person can ignore. Sample can sometimes feel as if Partner is holding back a heavier emotional truth than is being said out loud. Partner, in turn, can experience Sample as more emotionally exacting than Sample intends to be. It is not that Sample is cold; Sample simply has little patience for vague unease that lingers without being named. This can leave Partner feeling pressured at the exact moment Partner wants quiet. When that happens, Partner may shut down, go still, or act as if nothing is wrong while the mood grows denser underneath. Sample then notices the distance and may push for a reply, which can make Partner retreat further. It is a familiar pattern: one person reaches, the other folds inward, and both end up feeling slightly misunderstood.

Still, this is not a brittle bond. There is enough emotional similarity between Sample and Partner to keep them from drifting into two completely separate inner worlds. Both respond strongly to privacy, trust, and loyalty. Both understand the seriousness of being let down in the place where one expects comfort. Neither person is built for casual emotional handling, and that gives the relationship weight. When Sample is hurt, the hurt tends to be unmistakable: Sample wants the tone to be corrected, the distance to be reduced, the atmosphere to warm back up. When Partner is hurt, the reaction is usually less

obvious at first but more enduring. Partner may become guarded, harder to read, and slower to return to ease. A small disappointment can linger in Partner longer than Sample expects, especially if Sample assumes that one good conversation has already repaired everything.

The home life pattern shows this clearly. There is a strong private pull in the relationship, as if the two of them are meant to know each other best in familiar rooms rather than public ones. Partner brings seriousness and staying power into the domestic space; Partner can make a household feel contained, protected, and harder to shake. Sample brings a more active, sometimes restless emotional current into that same space, which can keep the relationship from becoming stale, but it can also disturb Partner's need for quiet stability. In ordinary terms, Partner may want the evening to be calm, orderly, and free of unnecessary friction, while Sample may want to talk through whatever felt off before the night ends. One person wants the room to settle; the other wants the tension named and cleared. Neither impulse is wrong, but it does mean the home can become the place where tenderness and friction are both most visible.

There is also a notable softness between them that helps repair the harder moments. Sample tends to appreciate Partner in a way that is warm, loyal, and affectionate without being frivolous. Partner is likely to feel genuinely liked by Sample, not merely managed or tolerated. That is important for Partner, who needs to feel emotionally recognized, not just observed. Sample, meanwhile, is usually helped by Partner's steadier, more contained style of feeling. Partner does not waste emotion on display for its own sake. When Partner offers care, it tends to be real, specific, and felt in the body: a quiet check-in, a change in routine, a willingness to stay close after a tense exchange. That kind of reassurance can calm Sample more than lofty words ever would.

The deeper difficulty is that both Sample and Partner are sensitive, but not always in the same register. Partner carries much more emotional density and can become internally flooded. Sample is the one more likely to want a response in the moment, to want the matter aired and settled. Partner may need to disappear into silence first; Sample may read that silence as rejection. Once Sample feels shut out, Sample can become stern or openly disappointed. Partner then feels the atmosphere harden, and that hardening can make Partner even more cautious. This is the bruise in the relationship: not a lack of care, but a mismatch in how care is asked for and how it is delivered.

Even so, the bond has a built-in capacity for recovery. Partner is not likely to abandon the relationship over a rough patch, and Sample is not likely to stay detached once real tenderness is back in the room. There is enough loyalty here for both people to circle back after hurt, especially if the repair is calm and concrete rather than theatrical. Partner usually responds best when Sample lowers the emotional temperature and shows, through ordinary gestures, that the

connection has not been withdrawn. Sample usually responds best when Partner stops disappearing into vague distance and gives some clear sign of return. A small apology, a cup of tea left on the table, a hand lingering a second longer, a return to familiar routine: these are the kinds of things that actually restore the feeling between them. This relationship is at its best when it treats emotional safety as something built by repeat behavior, not by one grand reassurance.

## **Romance, Attraction & Sexual Chemistry**

The attraction between Sample and Partner is intense, magnetic, and hard to ignore. This is not a soft, decorative kind of romance. It has teeth. Sample is the one who most strongly stirs the deeper current here, while Partner tends to respond with visible desire, resistance, or both at once. The result is a charged pull that can feel thrilling in private and a little exposing in public, because both Sample and Partner give off the sense that the chemistry is already doing half the talking.

Sample usually brings the stronger edge of fascination. Sample does not just notice Partner; Sample seems to want to get under Partner's skin, to see what Partner looks like when fully affected. That can come out as direct flirting, a steady gaze that lingers a beat too long, or a tone that feels half teasing, half challenging. Partner tends to react rather than merely receive. Partner is likely to answer with a sharper smile, a touch of competitive energy, or a sudden shift into pursuit after appearing casual at first. The attraction has that familiar push-and-pull quality where one person leans in, the other tests the temperature, and then both end up closer than they planned.

What makes this chemistry compelling is that it does not stay on the surface. Sample and Partner are not only drawn to each other's looks or charm; each one seems to provoke a more exposed response in the other. A simple brush of the hand, a longer pause at the door, a text sent late at night, or a look held across a room can carry more charge than either of them expected. The body language matters here. Partner may stand a little closer than necessary, angle the shoulders toward Sample, or look back after turning away. Sample may meet that interest with a deliberate stillness, a knowing smile, or a flirtation that is not too sweet to be safe. This is the kind of connection where timing matters almost as much as touch.

The romantic style is passionate, direct, and a little provocative. Sample and Partner are unlikely to be satisfied with polite, careful courtship for very long. One of them wants to stir the response; the other wants to feel wanted enough to answer. That can make the early stages especially vivid. Dates may have the feeling of an ongoing dare: who makes the first move, who admits the desire first, who gives in to the kiss first. Even when the mood is playful, there is usually a stronger undertow underneath it. Sample and Partner are not merely flirting for sport; each one wants proof that the attraction is real and mutual.

The sexual chemistry is similarly strong, but not always gentle. There is a vivid physical pull between Sample and Partner, and it can become consuming when both are fully engaged. This is the sort of pairing that can make ordinary moments feel loaded: a hand on the waist while passing in a narrow kitchen, a brief silence in the car that suddenly feels loud, a private joke that turns into

something more intimate than either person intended. The chemistry works best when both Sample and Partner allow the energy to move instead of trying to control it too tightly. If one person becomes too guarded or too strategic, the other is likely to feel the difference immediately.

There is also a competitive streak in the desire here. Sample and Partner may not always pursue affection in the same style. One may push harder, while the other cools just enough to keep the tension alive. That can make the attraction feel edgy and exciting, but it can also produce moments where each person wonders whether the other is teasing, testing, or truly yielding. In practice, this means seduction is rarely dull between Sample and Partner. It may show up as playful one-upmanship, a kiss that arrives after a deliberately long pause, or the kind of banter that sounds light but carries a clear physical message underneath. They know how to get a reaction out of each other.

The deeper bonding side of the chemistry is especially pronounced. Partner brings a kind of gravitational pull into Sample's private life, the sort that makes casual boundaries feel less casual. Partner can awaken a fascination in Sample with what is hidden, intense, or emotionally loaded. Sample, in turn, may feel drawn into Partner's more private territory, wanting access, trust, and closeness that is not merely decorative. This does not always look romantic in a conventional, candlelit way. Sometimes it looks more like a private conversation that becomes sexually charged without warning, or a moment when one of them reveals a vulnerability and the atmosphere changes at once. The bond has depth, and that depth gives the attraction more staying power than a simple flirtation would have.

At its best, this is a sexy, alive, memorable connection. Sample and Partner are likely to feel that something important is happening between them even before either one says much. The romance has urgency, the pursuit has heat, and the physical chemistry is substantial enough to leave an impression. At its most difficult, the same intensity can become a game of seduction and control, where desire is undeniable but neither person wants to appear too eager. Even then, the attraction does not disappear. It remains present, difficult to ignore, and often strongest when Sample and Partner are closest to each other, looking like two people who know perfectly well what the other is doing and are tempted anyway.

## **Communication & Daily Functioning**

Sample and Partner can build a workable daily life, but it does not run on autopilot. The bond has enough discipline and practical gravity to make plans real, yet the conversation often carries a faint edge of scrutiny. Sample may feel that simple remarks get weighed too heavily, while Partner may feel that ordinary requests arrive with an unspoken standard attached. In practice, that means a week's plans are usually discussed seriously, not casually. They do not do well with vague promises. If Sample says, "I'll handle it later," Partner is likely to want a time, a sequence, and proof. If Partner changes course without warning, Sample notices immediately. The result is not chaos, but a relationship that works best when both people act like adults about logistics from the start.

There is real strength in the way Sample and Partner can talk about responsibility. Sample often helps bring shape to the day, and Partner tends to respond well to clear expectations when they are stated plainly. This is the kind of pair that can divide chores, set appointments, and manage household tasks without endless negotiation once the rules are known. Sample may be the one who catches what still needs doing, while Partner is the one who can make a difficult task feel manageable if the job is concrete. On an ordinary day, this looks like one person saying, "We need groceries, the car needs fuel, and the bill is due," and the other person actually remembering it. That is one of the relationship's quieter assets: once something matters, it can be treated as a real obligation rather than a loose intention.

At the same time, the tone of communication can become stiff very quickly. Sample may sound more guarded or corrective than Sample realizes, especially when tired or concerned. Partner may experience Sample as reserved, measured, or harder to read than expected. Conversely, Partner can come across as firm in a way that feels less like help and more like evaluation. A small misunderstanding can become a lesson. One person asks for support; the other explains the proper way to do it. One person wants reassurance; the other gives a solution. That is useful when there is a concrete problem to solve, but frustrating when one of them only wants to feel understood. The practical cost is simple: if they do not soften their language, the conversation can become efficient but emotionally thin.

There is also a clear pattern of emotional mismatch in the moment. Sample may say something in a straightforward way and assume it has landed, while Partner reacts from a different place and seems to answer a question Sample did not quite ask. In ordinary life, this shows up as timing issues, crossed wires, or a sense that one person is talking about the task and the other is talking about the mood surrounding the task. Sample may think the issue is whether the plan got followed; Partner may feel the issue is whether the tone was respectful. This can produce the familiar scene of two people standing in a kitchen, both technically

right, both slightly irritated, and both convinced the other one missed the point. Repair is possible, but it usually requires slower speech and a willingness to restate the obvious.

What helps this pair is that Partner brings a certain freshness to Sample's mental world. Conversations are not limited to routine matters. Partner can introduce an unexpected angle, a new idea, a different route home, a quicker method, or a surprising solution when the normal one stalls. Sample is not locked into one way of thinking either; Sample can bring a practical awareness that keeps the discussion from drifting into scattered suggestions. The best version of this exchange is lively and useful: one person offers a new approach, the other checks it against reality, and the final plan is both smarter and more workable than either person would have produced alone. In a household, that can be a genuine advantage.

But the same exchange can become confusing if one of them is too vague. Partner may speak in leaps, half-finished thoughts, or assumptions that Sample does not share. Sample may leave certain impressions unstated, expecting Partner to read the room. Then both are left to infer what the other meant, and that is where the day starts to wobble. This is not a couple that can thrive on hints. If Sample is upset about the schedule, Sample needs to say so directly. If Partner is planning a change, Partner needs to state it before it is already happening. Otherwise, the domestic rhythm turns into a series of small interruptions: missed messages, delayed replies, forgotten items, and the sense that one person is always catching up.

The relationship is notably serious about duty. Both people are affected by the question, "What is owed here?" That can be a strength in long-term functioning because it keeps them from drifting into laziness or chronic avoidance. Sample is likely to take commitments personally, and Partner is unlikely to be content with sloppy follow-through for long. There is a strong capacity for loyalty in the practical sense: showing up, taking the call, remembering the errand, finishing the task, and not disappearing when the work is boring. This is not glamorous, but it is the backbone of the bond. If one of them is ill, overloaded, or under pressure, the other is capable of responding in a grounded way rather than making the day more difficult.

Still, the relationship can feel heavy if every exchange turns into a duty review. Sample may experience Partner as setting the bar high, even when Partner only intends to be reliable. Partner may feel that Sample's seriousness makes ordinary life feel more consequential than it needs to be. The healthiest daily pattern is one where they do not confuse responsibility with constant correction. A house runs better when someone keeps the list, but it also runs better when not every forgotten towel becomes a moral issue. They do best when the practical side is clear, the roles are explicit, and both people can admit, without embarrassment,

that ordinary life is easier when the conversation stays direct and the expectations stay plain.

## Challenges, Lessons & Growth

The heaviest pattern here is not simple friction; it is the way Sample and Partner can pull one another into pressure, uncertainty, and sudden change at the same time. This bond has a knack for making ordinary attachment feel intense and a little unstable. At its worst, Sample can feel as if Partner arrives with a hidden agenda, an unpredictable streak, or a private life that is not fully on the table. Partner, in turn, can feel pressed, exposed, or tugged into emotional reactions that seem larger than the situation. The relationship does not stay politely on the surface. It gets under the skin.

A major challenge is the push-pull between closeness and disruption. Sample may want to hold the line, define the bond, and figure out where things stand, while Partner resists being pinned down or behaves as if rules appear mainly when it is inconvenient. That can show up in simple daily scenes: one person wants a plan, the other changes it; one person wants a direct answer, the other answers sideways or late; one person wants reassurance, the other needs space or novelty. The result is not always a breakup-level crisis, but it does create a relationship that can feel hard to settle into. If Sample tries to tighten the grip, Partner is likely to move more sharply. If Partner plays everything loosely, Sample is likely to become increasingly watchful.

There is also a strong control-and-vulnerability theme running through the connection. Sample can feel deeply affected by Partner's desires, attention, or inconsistency, and that can stir possessiveness, suspicion, or a wish to test loyalty. Partner may not always realize how much influence simple choices carry here. A cancelled plan, a flirtatious remark, a private mood, or a half-finished conversation can land with unusual force. When this pattern turns sour, Sample may start scanning for signs of rejection or manipulation, while Partner may experience Sample as intense, difficult to please, or harder to placate than expected. The cost is clear: once trust becomes reactive, the bond turns into a series of assessments instead of a living relationship.

That said, this same pressure can produce real depth if Sample and Partner stop treating discomfort as an enemy and start treating it as information. The relationship exposes attachment issues fast. If Sample has a habit of clinging to what is certain, Partner will challenge that. If Partner has a habit of drifting, withholding, or acting on impulse, Sample will force that into the open. Neither person gets to stay neatly masked for long. That is uncomfortable, but it is also useful. A connection like this can strip away the polite lies couples tell themselves and reveal what each person actually fears losing.

The more destabilizing element is the unpredictability. Sample and Partner are not likely to keep everything in a neat rhythm, and that can create a kind of emotional whiplash. One moment there is excitement, urgency, and a sense of

possibility; the next, someone pulls away, changes direction, or acts on a sudden instinct. Partner may wake up wanting something different from what was said the night before. Sample may react with a sharp comment, a protective move, or a need to make the situation mean something definite. This is the sort of relationship where a small rupture can become a larger story if neither person slows down enough to separate fact from fear.

There is also a dreamlike, submerged quality that can blur the edges of the bond. Sample may project hopes, assumptions, or rescue fantasies onto Partner without realizing how much is being filled in from imagination rather than evidence. Partner may, at times, seem hard to read, evasive, or emotionally foggy, which can invite Sample to guess, idealize, or worry. In practice, this can look like trusting a mood instead of a conversation, believing a promise without checking whether it is still standing, or mistaking longing for clarity. When the relationship is healthy, this gives the bond a private, almost sealed-off feeling. When it is not, it creates confusion, disappointment, and the sense that one person is loving a version of the other.

Sample and Partner also have to reckon with submerged material that does not announce itself cleanly. One or both may carry old pain into the connection without immediately naming it. A reaction that seems excessive on the surface often has older roots: fear of being replaced, fear of being controlled, fear of being seen too clearly, fear of being abandoned after giving too much. The relationship tends to wake those old reflexes up. That is why some arguments here are not really about the present issue at all. They are about what the present issue resembles. If Sample feels suddenly small, or Partner feels unexpectedly defensive, the emotional scale is usually larger than the immediate incident.

There is, however, a stabilizing thread underneath all this tension. Sample and Partner are not only challenged by the relationship; they are also taught by it. The bond asks for honesty that is not decorative, patience that is not passive, and commitment that can tolerate change without becoming controlling. Sample can learn to stop over-reading every shift as a threat. Partner can learn that freedom without transparency quickly becomes unsettling. Both people are pushed to grow up around attachment: less guessing, less testing, less romantic fog, less impulsive withdrawal. If Sample and Partner do that work, the relationship can become a place where hidden motives are exposed, old reflexes are recognized, and both people become more exacting about what trust actually requires.

The relationship is not easy, but it is not shallow. Its difficulty comes from the fact that it reaches into control, desire, uncertainty, and buried feeling all at once. That is a lot to carry. Yet when Sample and Partner handle it consciously, the bond can become more honest than more comfortable relationships ever manage. It teaches both people where they overreach, where they evade, and where they confuse intensity with certainty. That is demanding, but it is also the kind of

demand that can leave a couple stronger, clearer, and less naive than before.

## Sample planets

Body	Position	House
Sun	10°22' Capricorn	10
Moon	13°19' Scorpio	7
Mercury	01°54' Capricorn	9
Venus	01°34' Sagittarius	8
Mars	27°58' Aquarius	12
Jupiter	25°17' Aries	1
Saturn R	10°22' Taurus	1
Uranus	14°49' Aquarius	11
Neptune	03°11' Aquarius	11
Pluto	11°27' Sagittarius	8
Ascendant	24°02' Aries	1
Midheaven	09°30' Capricorn	10
North Node	03°56' Leo	5
South Node	03°56' Aquarius	11
Part of Fortune	26°58' Aquarius	11
Lot of Spirit	21°05' Gemini	2
Lot of Eros	04°31' Libra	6
Lot of Necessity	19°06' Gemini	2
Lot of Courage	25°01' Aries	1
Lot of Victory	28°13' Aquarius	12
Lot of Nemesis	07°25' Cancer	3

## Partner planets

Body	Position	House
Sun	08°36' Virgo	10
Moon	11°19' Scorpio	1
Mercury R	25°34' Virgo	11
Venus	12°22' Virgo	10
Mars R	04°04' Pisces	4
Jupiter	01°07' Virgo	10
Saturn	10°41' Cancer	8
Uranus R	00°31' Pisces	4
Neptune R	11°04' Aquarius	3
Pluto	17°15' Sagittarius	2
Ascendant	08°39' Scorpio	1
Midheaven	22°44' Leo	10
North Node	23°19' Taurus	7
South Node	23°19' Scorpio	1
Part of Fortune	11°21' Capricorn	2
Lot of Spirit	05°56' Virgo	10
Lot of Eros	15°05' Scorpio	1
Lot of Necessity	24°26' Aquarius	4
Lot of Courage	01°21' Capricorn	2
Lot of Victory	03°50' Scorpio	12
Lot of Nemesis	07°59' Taurus	6

## Top synastry aspects

Aspect	Orb	Strength
Sample Sun Opposition Partner Saturn	0.31°	0.774
Sample Mercury Trine Partner Jupiter	0.78°	0.765
Sample Sun Sextile Partner Moon	0.94°	0.728
Sample Midheaven Trine Partner Sun	0.89°	0.719
Sample Venus Square Partner Jupiter	0.45°	0.717
Sample Sun Trine Partner Sun	1.77°	0.706
Sample Moon Sextile Partner Venus	0.94°	0.615
Sample Saturn Opposition Partner Moon	0.95°	0.611
Sample Saturn Sextile Partner Saturn	0.32°	0.581
Sample Sun Trine Partner Venus	2.00°	0.574
Sample Saturn Trine Partner Sun	1.76°	0.573
Sample Midheaven Sextile Partner Ascendant	0.85°	0.572
Sample Moon Conjunction Partner Moon	2.00°	0.566
Sample Sun Sextile Partner Ascendant	1.73°	0.553
Sample Mercury Sextile Partner Uranus	1.38°	0.549
Sample Midheaven Opposition Partner Saturn	1.19°	0.544
Sample Ascendant Trine Partner Midheaven	1.30°	0.540
Sample Venus Square Partner Uranus	1.05°	0.540
Sample Pluto Square Partner Venus	0.92°	0.526
Sample Saturn Square Partner Neptune	0.70°	0.518
Sample Saturn Opposition Partner Ascendant	1.72°	0.494
Sample Pluto Sextile Partner Neptune	0.39°	0.493
Sample Midheaven Sextile Partner Moon	1.82°	0.472

Sample Midheaven Semisquare Partner South Node	1.19°	0.461
--	-------	-------