

Relationship report for Sample & Partner

Subject	Birth date	Birth time	Birth place
Sample	2000-jan-01	12:00	London, UK
Partner	2003-sep-01	12:00	London, UK

Identity, Presence, and First Impact

Sample comes in loud, direct, and hard to ignore. The first impression is straightforward force: quick to act, quick to show preference, quick to set a tone. There is a visible confidence here that reads as self-starting rather than self-conscious. Sample does not look like someone waiting to be invited into the room. The presence is bigger than the packaging, and that matters. Even when Sample is polished, there is still a restless edge under it, a sense of momentum and appetite for movement. That makes Sample attractive to people who like clarity and dislike hesitation. It can also make Sample feel a little much for anyone who prefers a slower read.

Partner projects the opposite kind of intensity: controlled, private, and observant. The first impression is not about volume. It is about depth. Partner tends to look self-contained, even when the face is warm or the style is plain. There is a serious, watchful quality that makes people assume there is more going on underneath the surface. This is not casual presence. It is contained presence. Partner can seem composed in a way that feels deliberate, with less interest in performing for the room and more interest in holding the room at a distance until it feels safe enough to open. That can be magnetic because it creates tension without trying too hard.

What makes the pair memorable is the contrast between open force and guarded intensity. Sample gives off direct motion; Partner gives off disciplined restraint. Sample invites immediate contact. Partner invites careful attention. Together, that creates a very specific kind of attraction: one person looks like action, the other looks like control. People around them will notice the difference instantly. Sample can make Partner seem even more intriguing by contrast, because a bold exterior naturally highlights a more private one. Partner can make Sample look more polished and credible by comparison, because steadiness beside force gives that force a cleaner frame. The relationship has a strong visual balance: one side brings heat and momentum, the other brings containment and edge.

There is also a strong public-facing similarity that keeps the contrast from turning into chaos. Both carry themselves with enough authority to look like they belong in important spaces. Sample tends to do it through confidence and visible drive. Partner does it through composure and self-command. That means they do not look flimsy next to each other. People are likely to read them as two adults with standards, not two drifting personalities trying to impress. Sample looks like someone who can lead a room. Partner looks like someone who can outlast one. The result is a relationship that has presence, not just chemistry at the surface level. In social settings, they are not forgettable.

Sample's outer style tends to communicate ambition clearly. There is a natural tendency to present as capable, productive, and on the move. Even without

trying, Sample can read as someone with goals and a plan. That makes the appeal practical as well as physical. Sample does not need to dress like a mascot for success; the energy itself already says “I take myself seriously.” This is attractive, but it also creates pressure. If Sample gets sloppy or passive, the whole image weakens fast. The appeal depends on forward motion. Stand still too long, and the sharpness dulls.

Partner’s style cue is more controlled and less obvious, but no less powerful. The presence suggests restraint, selectivity, and awareness of how much to reveal. Partner can look polished without looking eager. There is often a clean, precise quality in the way Partner enters a space, even if the look is simple. That kind of presence tends to attract people who enjoy being slightly challenged, because Partner does not hand everything over at once. The appeal is in the contained signal: strong, but not open; visible, but not available to everyone. That keeps interest alive. It also keeps comfort levels higher for people who prefer boundaries and respect around them.

The social effect between them is strong because each person elevates the other’s image in a different way. Sample makes the bond look energetic and driven. Partner makes the bond look serious and substantial. That combination is useful in public. It suggests competence, not just attraction. Sample can come off more grounded when standing beside Partner. Partner can come off less inaccessible when Sample’s warmth and straightforwardness are in the frame. The pair looks more complete than either person does alone, because the outward signals fill in each other’s weak spots. One pushes outward. The other holds shape.

There is a small but important difference in how each person handles pride. Sample’s pride is visible and active. It shows in how Sample carries a decision, defends a position, and refuses to look weak for long. Partner’s pride is quieter and more controlled. It shows in composure, standards, and not needing to advertise self-worth. That means Sample can read as more overtly assertive, while Partner can read as more self-possessed. In a room, Sample is easier to notice first. Partner is easier to remember later. Attraction grows from both, but for different reasons. Sample draws attention. Partner keeps it.

This pairing works best when neither person tries to flatten the other’s style into something safer. Sample should not dim the boldness just to seem agreeable. Partner should not overperform openness just to seem accessible. The visible dynamic is strongest when each person stays readable in a distinct way. That is what creates the appeal here: a sharp, public, self-respecting presence on one side and a contained, quietly powerful presence on the other. The first impression is not soft. It is not vague. It is two strong identities meeting in plain sight and making the whole connection look more substantial because of it.

Emotions, Instincts, and Communication

Sample and Partner feel each other fast, and not always gently. The emotional bond is immediate and unmistakable: Sample reads Partner's feelings without much effort, and Partner tends to register Sample as someone who gets under the skin rather than stays on the surface. When the tone is good, that creates real trust. When the tone is off, it makes even small shifts feel loaded. Neither person likes pretending things are fine when they are not, so silence, coolness, or vague answers tend to hit harder here than blunt honesty would.

Sample's emotional style is guarded, intense, and not easy to soothe with shallow reassurance. Sample needs emotional consistency, but not in a bland way; Sample needs proof that the connection can hold pressure without breaking shape. Partner's feelings are equally deep, but more openly textured in the moment, with a strong need to be understood on first pass. Partner tends to want a response that feels immediate and emotionally true. If Partner feels dismissed, the reaction is not casual irritation; it becomes suspicion, withdrawal, or a sharp read of motives. That means upset in this relationship can escalate quickly if one person speaks too clinically or expects the other to "just know" what is wrong.

Communication between Sample and Partner is not naturally soft. It is more likely to be exacting, direct, and sometimes a little guarded around what really matters. Sample does not like emotional mess wrapped in long explanations. Partner does not like being talked around instead of talked to. When the two are relaxed, the conversation can be sharp, useful, and very efficient. There is a real ability to say the thing plainly, and there is a practical intelligence in the exchange. Sample can bring structure to what Partner feels; Partner can bring precision to what Sample means. That is one of the cleanest strengths here: the bond works better when feeling and language are forced to meet instead of being left separate.

The problem is timing. Sample is not built for instant emotional correction, while Partner can need a faster response than Sample naturally gives. Sample may need to sit with a feeling before naming it, but Partner may already be reading that pause as distance, coldness, or lack of care. On the other side, Partner can speak from a strong internal certainty and assume the message landed clearly when Sample actually needs more context. Misunderstandings here are rarely about not caring. They are more often about tempo, tone, and what each person thinks should be obvious. Sample often wants the emotional truth acknowledged before the details get debated. Partner often wants the details clarified before emotional weight is assigned. That difference can make perfectly ordinary conversations feel surprisingly tense.

There is also a clear repair pattern in the bond: both Sample and Partner respond better to firmness than to vagueness. Sample does not relax when someone gets slippery with words. Partner does not settle when the response feels evasive or

overly managed. The best repair is straightforward, specific, and unglamorous. Say what was meant. Say what was felt. Say what was missed. No theatrics, no testing, no half-apologies. If one person tries to smooth things over too quickly, the other is likely to sense the shortcut and stay unconvinced. The relationship recovers best when the emotional facts are named cleanly and neither person is pressured to pretend the wound was smaller than it was.

At the same time, there is genuine emotional loyalty in the connection. Sample is capable of steadying Partner in a way that does not feel intrusive, and Partner can offer Sample a kind of calm presence that reduces strain instead of adding to it. This matters because both people can be privately intense. Partner's feelings do not stay lightweight for long, and Sample does not process shallowly. That gives the relationship depth, but it also means the bond can carry a lot of unspoken mood. If one person is having an off day, the other will feel it. If one person is uncertain, the other may take it personally. They need to keep small emotional weather from becoming a full system.

Sample and Partner also communicate well when the conversation has momentum and a purpose. There is enough mental spark here to keep things from going stale, and enough mutual stimulation that ideas can move quickly once both are engaged. Sample can be surprisingly nimble in the exchange, especially when the topic rewards wit, speed, or a more inventive angle. Partner brings a precise, observant mind and can catch what others miss. That means the two can troubleshoot well, compare impressions efficiently, and often enjoy the same conversation when the subject is concrete. The friction starts when one person moves faster than the other's emotional processing. Then the talk can feel smart on the surface while the actual feeling remains unresolved underneath.

Sample also needs room to speak without being corrected too aggressively, and Partner needs the same. Both have enough internal intensity that a lecturing tone will not go over well. If Sample sounds too rigid, Partner may experience it as emotionally closed. If Partner sounds too analytical at the wrong moment, Sample may hear it as evasive or too detached from the actual stakes. Neither response is fatal, but both can shut the door quickly. What helps is a direct, grounded style that leaves room for feeling without letting the conversation drift into confusion. They do best when one person states the emotional core plainly and the other responds with the same level of clarity.

The strongest thing in this section is simple: Sample and Partner can actually hear each other when both choose honesty over impression management. The weakest thing is equally simple: once one person feels misunderstood, both can become more defensive than necessary. This is not a relationship that rewards hints. It rewards clean speaking, immediate acknowledgment, and enough patience to let the other person finish the sentence before deciding what it means.

Romance, Pursuit, and Sexual Chemistry

The attraction between Sample and Partner is not soft or simple. It has heat, but it also has friction, and that friction is part of the charge. Sample comes on with bold appetite and a taste for intensity; Partner responds with a more controlled, selective style that still wants substance. That creates a push-pull in the chase. Sample wants desire to feel immediate and alive. Partner wants it to feel earned, specific, and worth the risk. The result is strong chemistry, but not a smooth one.

Sample is wired for flirtation that goes beyond surface charm. There is a real hunger for depth, for private access, for the kind of intimacy that feels slightly dangerous because it matters. Sample does not want romance that stays polite and decorative. Sample wants a spark that crosses into the body, a sense that attraction has consequences. Partner can meet that, but not by being flashy. Partner's style is more restrained and particular, with a clear preference for quality over noise. That means Partner can feel hard to read at first, yet once Partner is interested, the interest tends to be real. Sample may not get constant verbal fireworks, but Sample does get a stronger signal: Partner does not waste attention casually.

The strongest sexual tension here comes from how much both people activate each other's appetite and impatience at the same time. Sample tends to press for momentum, escalation, and proof. Partner tends to awaken desire in a way that is less direct but more unsettling because it is selective and exact. Sample can find that attractive, but Sample can also get frustrated when the response is measured rather than immediate. Partner, on the other hand, may feel pulled toward Sample's boldness and intensity while also feeling wary of how quickly the energy can become too much. This is a couple that can feel electric in private and a little hard to manage in real time.

There is a strong pattern of overreaching on the romantic front. Sample is prone to going bigger than the situation naturally supports, especially when desire is active. That can look like chasing too hard, promising too much, or trying to force a moment to become bigger than it already is. Partner also has a tendency to take desire seriously and to want more than a casual fling, but Partner brings a more disciplined standard to the table. In practice, the connection works best when Sample does not oversell and Partner does not overcontrol. When either side pushes for more certainty than the chemistry can comfortably hold, the seduction starts to strain.

The physical style between them is also uneven in a useful way. Sample has a taste for arousal that feels expansive, spontaneous, and emotionally charged. Partner prefers something more refined and deliberate, with a sharper eye for what actually works instead of what merely sounds exciting. That mismatch can create very strong tension in bed and in the lead-up to it. Sample may want more

heat, more risk, more momentum. Partner may prefer a slower, more exacting approach that builds desire through precision rather than volume. When this balance is right, Sample brings the fire and Partner brings the calibration. When it is off, Sample can feel blocked and Partner can feel crowded.

There is also a volatile edge that keeps the attraction from settling into habit. Partner has a streak of unpredictability that Sample is likely to notice immediately, and Sample's own desire does not like to stay domesticated. This makes the courtship feel alive, but it can also make it erratic. One day the energy feels irresistible; the next, one person may pull back just enough to disrupt the rhythm. Sample is especially sensitive to mixed signals in the erotic field, because Sample wants desire to be felt clearly. Partner may not always realize how much a small shift in tone can change the temperature. That creates a game of timing, and timing matters a lot here.

A different layer is the sheer intensity of what each person awakens in the other. Partner can stir a possessive streak in Sample, not in a neat or flattering way, but in the sense that Sample does not want to be treated casually once the bond is real. Sample wants seriousness underneath the seduction. Partner, meanwhile, can become more intrigued when desire has a little danger in it. Partner may not be drawn to easy availability; Partner is more likely to lean into chemistry that feels charged, unusual, or hard to pin down. That means the relationship can generate real magnetism, but it also invites testing. Each person wants proof that the attraction is not just momentary.

The good news is that there is enough discipline in the mix to keep the attraction from turning purely chaotic. Partner has a more contained romantic style than Sample, and that restraint can steady the bond when Sample's appetite gets too fast or too reactive. Sample can give Partner a sense of passion that feels vivid and alive, while Partner can give Sample something more durable than a quick thrill. The best version of this connection is not about endless intensity. It is about learning how to pace it. Sample has to respect that Partner does not want to be rushed. Partner has to respect that Sample does not stay interested in dead air.

What spoils the chemistry is predictability without tension or excess without direction. If Sample tries to force passion, Partner is likely to resist. If Partner becomes too cautious or too tidy, Sample loses interest fast. This bond needs a little friction, but not pointless conflict. It needs pursuit, but not desperation. It needs desire that is clear, selective, and physically engaged. When Sample leads with confidence and Partner answers with discernment, the attraction becomes hard to ignore.

Permission, Pressure, and Sudden Change

Sample and Partner give each other a rare mix of permission and discipline. The strongest thing here is not romance or reassurance; it is the sense that both people can actually build something without feeling like they are dragging dead weight. Sample's pace and Partner's standards are not naturally the same, but they do not cancel each other out. When the bond is working, it feels practical in a good way: one person pushes forward, the other checks the details, and the relationship can hold real responsibilities instead of running on impulse alone. That makes this connection unusually capable of lasting when other bonds would get sloppy or vague.

There is also a serious adult edge between Sample and Partner. The relationship does not float above consequences. It asks for restraint, patience, and a willingness to deal with what is inconvenient rather than what is glamorous. Sample can feel the weight of Partner's limits, expectations, or long-term concerns, especially when the two are deciding what is realistic. Partner, in turn, is not getting a careless companion here. Sample does not naturally play small, and that can be useful, but it also means Sample will not always enjoy being slowed down. If either person gets rigid, the connection can feel pressed into shape instead of supported into shape. This is not a bond that thrives when someone refuses responsibility or expects things to stay easy forever.

The pressure point is sharper when Sample's drive hits Partner's uncertainty. Sample tends to want direct movement and clear results; Partner can introduce doubt, hesitation, or a sense that not everything can be pinned down so neatly. That is where the relationship can stall. Sample may push for a decision, a commitment, or a practical answer, while Partner may resist being boxed in too fast or may simply not want to define everything on Sample's timetable. The result is not constant conflict, but periodic friction around timing and control. Sample can get impatient with fog. Partner can get uncomfortable with force. When this works, Sample learns discernment and Partner becomes less evasive. When it fails, Sample sees Partner as slippery and Partner sees Sample as heavy-handed.

Still, this relationship has a strong gift for expansion in the real world. Sample can open doors for Partner in career matters, public standing, or outward progress. Sample's confidence makes it easier for Partner to aim higher, take up more room, or be seen in a more favorable light. This is one of the cleaner permission patterns in the chart: Sample is likely to encourage ambition, not shrink it. Partner can feel backed rather than judged, and that matters. The relationship is better when it has a purpose larger than private comfort, because both people benefit when effort turns into visible progress. If there is a shared project, public goal, or practical ambition, the bond gets stronger and more

useful.

Change is another major theme, and it does not come politely. Sample brings a disruptive edge into the mix, and Partner does not respond to it in a shallow way. The connection can wake up suddenly, shift course quickly, or break out of routine when something unexpected enters the room. Sample is not simply stable or predictable in how Sample presses for change, and Partner is not built to stay untouched by it. There is a visible jolt between these two that can be exciting when the relationship has gone stale. It can also make the bond harder to control. Sample may introduce a sudden move, a new idea, or a fast break from convention, and Partner may feel both drawn in and unsettled by it. The attraction here is not the point in this section; the point is that the relationship does not stay frozen when it is healthy. It moves.

That same volatility can be productive when both people are willing to let go of dead routines. Sample and Partner can handle unexpected changes better together than either one might alone, especially when a crisis or an interruption demands quick adaptation. The bond is not fragile in the face of change; it can actually become more alive when things stop being predictable. But there is a limit. If the relationship has no structure underneath the excitement, the sudden shifts can become draining instead of freeing. The bond needs a base that can absorb shocks. Without that, the couple may keep provoking change just to feel movement, and that gets expensive fast.

There is also a subtler way this relationship can loosen resistance. Sample can help Partner connect ambition with deeper psychological or shared-power realities, not just surface goals. That can make the bond feel more capable of handling complicated life material without collapsing into denial. At best, Sample and Partner do not avoid the messy parts of change; they can work through them with a surprisingly steady hand. At worst, the same energy can turn into inconsistency, where both people sense that something bigger is trying to move but neither one wants to fully name the cost.

What makes this connection work is a willingness to respect both delay and disruption. Sample and Partner are strongest when they accept that some things need time, while other things need a sudden break from habit. If the relationship is treated as a place for both building and recalibrating, it has real staying power. If it is treated as either too rigid or too free, it loses traction. The bond can handle pressure. It can even benefit from it. But it needs a clear backbone and room for real change, or it starts to feel like effort without direction.

Fantasy, Hidden Desires, and Deep Undercurrents

Sample and Partner do not just stir attraction in each other; they activate a private inner world that is easy to feel and harder to name. The strongest pull here is not loud. It works through suggestion, half-glances, and what each person

senses behind the other person's words. Sample brings a deep instinct for emotional intensity and secrecy, while Partner carries a softer, more elusive aura that keeps desire slightly out of reach. That combination creates a bond that feels intimate before it feels fully explained. Each person can project a lot onto the other, and that projection is part of the seduction. The relationship does not stay on the surface because neither person is fully satisfied with surface-level contact. Sample is especially prone to falling for what Partner seems to promise, not just what Partner shows. Partner can come across as someone whose inner life is layered, hard to pin down, and quietly resistant to being fully possessed. That mystery is magnetic. Sample may want to merge, uncover, or penetrate the hidden truth, but the appeal is not simple curiosity. It is the sense that something valuable is tucked underneath the visible exchange. Partner, in turn, can absorb Sample's intensity without always matching it directly, which makes Partner feel even more elusive. That dynamic can be intoxicating. It can also make Sample chase an image, not just a person, especially when certainty would reduce the charge.

There is also a strong current of idealization between them. Sample can imagine a deeper bond than what is already named, and Partner can carry fantasies that depend on subtlety, secrecy, and unspoken permission. The attraction grows in the spaces where direct disclosure is incomplete. This is not a relationship that runs best on clean declarations of motive. It thrives when something remains hidden, because hidden material keeps desire active. Sample may quietly assume Partner understands feelings that have never been fully stated. Partner may prefer the intimacy of implication over explicit exposure. That can create a private language of longing, but it can also leave both people guessing about what is actually being wanted.

The undercurrent between Sample and Partner also has a compulsive edge. The connection does not feel casual, even when nothing obvious is happening. Sample carries a deep memory of needing to surrender to something intense and consuming, and Partner's presence can awaken that need fast. Partner, meanwhile, can be pulled into a more obsessive form of attention around what Sample contains underneath the visible surface. This is the kind of bond that can make one person fixate on a detail, a mood, or a missing piece and treat it like the whole truth. Once that happens, fantasy starts filling in the gaps. The bond becomes less about what is said and more about what cannot be released.

What makes this more complicated is that the two people do not only idealize each other; they can also press on each other's private fear of being controlled. Sample's intensity can feel invasive if it becomes too certain, too psychologically penetrating, or too hungry for answers. Partner's own hidden pull toward control can surface in subtler ways, especially around what is kept private and what is allowed to remain ambiguous. Neither person necessarily wants to dominate

outright, but both can become uncomfortable when the bond stops feeling porous and starts feeling exposed. The relationship works best when desire has room to breathe. It turns stiff when one person tries to own the other person's inner life.

There is a deeper layer here involving secrecy and power. Sample is not built for shallow emotional exchange in this bond; Sample wants to go under the skin of things. Partner does not simply hand over that access. Partner can preserve a core that stays unreadable, and that unreadability can become part of the erotic tension. Sample may feel drawn to what remains unspoken because the unfinished quality keeps the connection alive. Partner may enjoy the fact that Sample keeps trying to decode what cannot be fully decoded. This creates a private game of pursuit and withholding, not always conscious, but real enough to shape the mood between them.

The shared undercurrent also points to old patterns that can be hard to shake. Sample may slip into familiar forms of longing that are tied to deep attachment history, especially if something in Partner feels like a return to an old emotional script. Partner can trigger those buried habits without trying to, simply by embodying the right mix of distance, mystery, and gravitational pull. That does not make the bond superficial; it makes it sticky. The attraction can feel fated in a very human way: not magical, but repetitive, as if each person is pulled toward a familiar kind of wanting that has not been fully resolved elsewhere.

At the same time, the bond has genuine potential for deep trust if neither person forces the hidden material too quickly into the open. Sample responds well when the mystery is respected rather than stripped bare. Partner responds well when curiosity does not become interrogation. The relationship's private life is strongest when it allows silence, suggestion, and a controlled pace of revelation. What spoils it is the urge to expose everything, to turn every feeling into a demand, or to make the other person prove what is already being sensed. That kind of pressure kills the charge. The bond stays potent when both people understand that part of the attraction is the unfinished, private, and slightly dangerous space they create together.

Sample planets

Body	Position	House
Sun	10°22' Capricorn	10
Moon	13°19' Scorpio	7
Mercury	01°54' Capricorn	9
Venus	01°34' Sagittarius	8
Mars	27°58' Aquarius	12
Jupiter	25°17' Aries	1
Saturn R	10°22' Taurus	1
Uranus	14°49' Aquarius	11
Neptune	03°11' Aquarius	11
Pluto	11°27' Sagittarius	8
Ascendant	24°02' Aries	1
Midheaven	09°30' Capricorn	10
North Node	03°56' Leo	5
South Node	03°56' Aquarius	11
Part of Fortune	26°58' Aquarius	11
Lot of Spirit	21°05' Gemini	2
Lot of Eros	04°31' Libra	6
Lot of Necessity	19°06' Gemini	2
Lot of Courage	25°01' Aries	1
Lot of Victory	28°13' Aquarius	12
Lot of Nemesis	07°25' Cancer	3

Partner planets

Body	Position	House
Sun	08°36' Virgo	10
Moon	11°19' Scorpio	1
Mercury R	25°34' Virgo	11
Venus	12°22' Virgo	10
Mars R	04°04' Pisces	4
Jupiter	01°07' Virgo	10
Saturn	10°41' Cancer	8
Uranus R	00°31' Pisces	4
Neptune R	11°04' Aquarius	3
Pluto	17°15' Sagittarius	2
Ascendant	08°39' Scorpio	1
Midheaven	22°44' Leo	10
North Node	23°19' Taurus	7
South Node	23°19' Scorpio	1
Part of Fortune	11°21' Capricorn	2
Lot of Spirit	05°56' Virgo	10
Lot of Eros	15°05' Scorpio	1
Lot of Necessity	24°26' Aquarius	4
Lot of Courage	01°21' Capricorn	2
Lot of Victory	03°50' Scorpio	12
Lot of Nemesis	07°59' Taurus	6

Top synastry aspects

Aspect	Orb	Strength
Sample Sun Opposition Partner Saturn	0.31°	0.774
Sample Mercury Trine Partner Jupiter	0.78°	0.765
Sample Sun Sextile Partner Moon	0.94°	0.728
Sample Midheaven Trine Partner Sun	0.89°	0.719
Sample Venus Square Partner Jupiter	0.45°	0.717
Sample Sun Trine Partner Sun	1.77°	0.706
Sample Moon Sextile Partner Venus	0.94°	0.615
Sample Saturn Opposition Partner Moon	0.95°	0.611
Sample Saturn Sextile Partner Saturn	0.32°	0.581
Sample Sun Trine Partner Venus	2.00°	0.574
Sample Saturn Trine Partner Sun	1.76°	0.573
Sample Midheaven Sextile Partner Ascendant	0.85°	0.572
Sample Moon Conjunction Partner Moon	2.00°	0.566
Sample Sun Sextile Partner Ascendant	1.73°	0.553
Sample Mercury Sextile Partner Uranus	1.38°	0.549
Sample Midheaven Opposition Partner Saturn	1.19°	0.544
Sample Ascendant Trine Partner Midheaven	1.30°	0.540
Sample Venus Square Partner Uranus	1.05°	0.540
Sample Pluto Square Partner Venus	0.92°	0.526
Sample Saturn Square Partner Neptune	0.70°	0.518
Sample Saturn Opposition Partner Ascendant	1.72°	0.494
Sample Pluto Sextile Partner Neptune	0.39°	0.493
Sample Midheaven Sextile Partner Moon	1.82°	0.472

Sample Midheaven Semisquare Partner South Node	1.19°	0.461
--	-------	-------